

REFERENCE

- Abdulghani, H. M., Ahmad, F., Ponnampuruma, G. G., Khalil, M. S., & Aldrees, A. (2014). The relationship between non-functioning distractors and item difficulty of multiple choice questions: a descriptive analysis. *Journal of Health Specialties*, 2(4), 148.
- Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2013). Teaching with and about nature of science, and science teacher knowledge domains. *Science & Education*, 22(9), 2087-2107.
- Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Lederman, N. G. (2000). The influence of history of science courses on students' views of nature of science. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 37(10), 1057-1095.
- Akerson, V. L., Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Lederman, N. G. (2000). Influence of a reflective explicit activity-based approach on elementary teachers' conceptions of nature of science. *Journal of research in Science Teaching*, 37(4), 295-317.
- Anderson, L.W., Krathwohl, D.R., & Bloom, B.S. (Editors). (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. New York: Longman. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on October 31, 2015].
- Allchin, D. (2012). The Minnesota case study collection: New historical inquiry case studies for nature of science education. *Science & Education*, 21(9), 1263-1281.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Manajemen penelitian*. Jakarta. Bumi Aksara.
- Bell, M., & Isaacs, A. (2007). The case of Every Mathematics. In C. R. Hirsch (Ed), *Perspectives on the design and development of school mathematics curricula*. Reston, VA: NCTM. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.ejmste.com>. [Accessed on January 25, 2016].
- Bell, R. L. (2009). Teaching the nature of science: Three critical questions. *Best Practices in Science Education*. *Zugriff am*, 15, 13. [Online]. Retrived from <http://ngspscience.com>. [Accessed on January 28, 2016].
- Buxner, S. R. (2015). Exploring How Research Experiences For Teachers Changes Their Understandings Of The Nature Of Science And Scientific Inquiry. *Journal of Astronomy & Earth Sciences Education (JAESE)*, 1(1), 53-68.

- Carmines, E.G., & Zeller, R.A. (1979). *Reliability and Validity Assessment*. London: Sage Publisher. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 16, 2015].
- Çelik, S., & Karataş, F. Ö. (2015). Relationship Between Pre-Service Teachers' Views of Nature of Science and Their Study Subjects. *Kastamonu Eğitim Dergisi*, 23(2), 755-772.
- Chen, S. (2006). Development of an instrument to assess views on nature of science and attitudes toward teaching science. *Science Education*, 90(5), 803-819.
- Cho, J. M., Kim, S. J., Lee, S. H., Kim, K. Y., Ok, H. J., Rim, H. M., Park, Y. B., Lee, M. H., Han, H. J., & Son, S. K. (2011). *The trends in international mathematics and science study (TIMSS 2011): A technical report of the main survey in Korea*. Seoul: Korea Institute of Curriculum & Evaluation.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research Methods in Education*. London and New York: Routledge. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 26, 2015].
- Colagrande, E. A., de Assis Martorano, S. A., & Arroio, A. (2015). Reflections About Teaching Nature of Science Mediated by Images. *Reflections*, 12(1).
- Creswell, J.W. (2012). *Educational Research Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. Boston: Pearson.
- Downing, S.M. & Yudkowsky, R. (2009). *Assessment in Health Professions Education*. New York and London: Routledge. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on October 31, 2015].
- Duschl, R. A., & Grandy, R. (2013). Two views about explicitly teaching nature of science. *Science & Education*, 22(9), 2109-2139.
- Erickson, F. (2012). Qualitative research methods for science education. In *Second international handbook of science education* (pp. 1451-1469). Springer Netherlands. [Online]. Retrived from <http://ksu.edu.sa>. [Accessed on July 19, 2016].
- Ferrando, P. J. (2012). Assessing the Discriminating Power of Item and Test Scores in the Linear Factor-Analysis Model. *Psicologica: International Journal of Methodology and Experimental Psychology*, 33(1), 111-134.

- Forehand, M. (2010). Bloom's taxonomy. *Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching and technology*, 41-47. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.edumoodle.at>. [Accessed on October 28, 2015].
- Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E., & Hyun, H.H. (2007). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. San Francisco: McGraw Hill.
- Garner-O'Neale, L., & Ogunkola, B. (2015). Effects of Interest in Science, Study Habits, Sex and Level of Study on the Nature of Science Literacy Level of Undergraduate Chemistry Students of the University of the West Indies, Barbados. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 5(2), 267.
- Hadi, A. N. (2014). Utilization of Biopuppet Learning Media through the Implementation of Problem Based Learning Strategy to Improve Students' Learning Interest and Understanding.
- Hayes, K. N., & Trexler, C. J. (2016). Testing predictors of instructional practice in elementary science education: The significant role of accountability. *Science Education*, 100(2), 266-289.
- Hollar, S. (2012). *Ecology: The Delicate Balance of Life on Earth. The environment: ours to save*. New York: The Rosen Publishing Group. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Hsin, M. C., Chien, S. P., Hsu, Y. S., Lin, C. Y., & Yore, L. D. (2016). Development and validation of a Taiwanese communication progression in science education. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 125-143.
- Johnson, G., Gaspar, A., Boyer, N., Bennett, C., & Armitage, W. (2012). Applying the revised Bloom's taxonomy of the cognitive domain to linux system administration assessments. *Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges*, 28(2), 238-247.
- Jorgensen, S.E. (2009). *Ecosystem Ecology*. Amsterdam: Academic Press. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Kaplan, R.M., & Saccuzzo, D.P. (2012). *Psychological Testing: Principles, Applications, & Issues*. San Diego: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Kim, M. K., & Cho, M. K. (2015). The Study of Constructed-Response Assessment of Elementary Mathematics Education in Korea. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 11(2), 299-311.

- Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A revision of Bloom's taxonomy: An overview. *Theory into practice*, 41(4), 212-218.[Online].Retrived from <http://www.wikispaces.net>. [Accessed on October 28, 2015].
- Lederman, N. G., Abd-El-Khalick, F., Bell, R. L., & Schwartz, R. S. (2002). Views of nature of science questionnaire: Toward valid and meaningful assessment of learners' conceptions of nature of science. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 39(6), 497-521.
- Lightner, J. & Hennigan, T. (2013). *The Ecology Book: Wonders of Creation*. : New Leaf Publishing Group. [Online].Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Liu, X. (2010). *Using and Developing Measurement Instruments in Science Education: A Research Modeling Approach (Hc)*. United States: IAP. [Online].Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on October 31, 2015].
- Long, D. A., Mitchell, M. L., Young, J., & Rickard, C. M. (2014). Assessing core outcomes in graduates: psychometric evaluation of the Paediatric Intensive Care Unit-Nursing Knowledge and Skills Test. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 70(3), 698-708.
- Martin, M., Mullis, I. V., & Foy, P. (2015). TIMSS 2015 assessment design. *TIMSS*, 85-99. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.bc.edu>. [Accessed on January 25, 2016].
- Mastascusa, E.J., Synder, W.J., & Hoyt, B.S. (2011). *Effective Instruction for STEM Disciplines: From Learning Theory to College Teaching*. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons. [Online].Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on October 31, 2015].
- Matthews, M. R. (2012). Changing the focus: From nature of science (NOS) to features of science (FOS). In *Advances in nature of science research* (pp. 3-26). Springer Netherlands.
- McComas, W. F., Clough, M. P., & Almazroa, H. (1998). The role and character of the nature of science in science education. In *The nature of science in science education* (pp. 3-39). Springer Netherlands.
- McDonald, M.E. (2014). *The Nurse Educator's Guide to Assessing Learning Outcomes*. Brooklyn: Jones & Bartlett Publishers. [Online].Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on October 30, 2015].

- McFarlane, D. A. (2013). Understanding the challenges of science education in the 21st century: New opportunities for scientific literacy. *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 4(1), 35-44. [
- Militaru, G., Pollifroni, M., & Niculescu, C. (2015, November). The Role of Technology Entrepreneurship Education in Encouraging to Launch New Ventures. In *Balkan Region Conference on Engineering and Business Education* (Vol. 1, No. 1).
- Molles, M.C. (2013). *Ecology Concept and Applications*. Mexico: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Mourgues, C., Tan, M., Hein, S., Elliott, J. G., & Grigorenko, E. L. (2016). Using creativity to predict future academic performance: An application of Aurora's five subtests for creativity. *Learning and Individual Differences*.
- Pianka, E.R. (2011). *Evolutionary Ecology*. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Plucker, J. A., Beghetto, R. A., & Dow, G. T. (2004). Why isn't creativity more important to educational psychologists? Potentials, pitfalls, and future directions in creativity research. *Educational psychologist*, 39(2), 83-96.
- Reece, J.B., Urry, L.A., Cain, M.L., Wasserman, S.A., Minorsky, P.V., & Jackson, R.B. (2011). *Campbell Biology*. Boston: Pearson.
- Schleigh, S. P., Clark, D. B., & Menekse, M. (2015). Constructed-response as an alternative to interviews in conceptual change studies: Students' explanations of force. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, 3(1), 14-36.
- Schmitz, O.J. (2013). *Ecology and Ecosystem Conservation*. Washington, D.C: Island Press. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Schwartz, R. S., Lederman, N. G., & Abd-el-Khalick, F. (2012). A series of misrepresentations: A response to Allchin's whole approach to assessing nature of science understandings. *Science Education*, 96(4), 685-692.
- Simkin, M. G., & Kuechler, W. L. (2005). Multiple-Choice Tests and Student Understanding: What Is the Connection?. *Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education*, 3(1), 73-98.

- Tomak, L., & Bek, Y. (2015). Item analysis and evaluation in the examinations in the faculty of medicine at Ondokuz Mayıs University. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice*, 18(3), 387-394.
- Weathers, K.C. (2012). *Fundamental of Ecosystem Science*. London: Academic Press. [Online]. Retrived from <http://www.books.google.co.id>. [Accessed on November 6, 2015].
- Xu, D., & Chi, M. T. (2016). Bridging Emergent Attributes and Darwinian Principles in Teaching Natural Selection.
- Yuan, W., Deng, C., Zhu, H., & Li, J. (2013). The Statistical Analysis and Evaluation of Examination Results of Materials Research Methods Course. *Creative Education*, 3(07), 162.